

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1215 of 2022

=====

Rajendra Singh Son of Jhani Singh, Resident of Village - Kapasi, P.S. -
Karandey, District - Sheikhpura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Finance,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Addl. Chief Secretary, Industries Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna.
5. The Director, District Industrial Center, Nawada.
6. The Manufacturing Manager, Central Processing Plant, Bihar Sharif,
Nalanda.
7. The Project Officer, Kauakol, Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bipin Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Yogendra Pd. Sinha (Aag7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH

ORAL ORDER

3 16-01-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

*"(i) For issuance of writ/writs in the nature of
mandamus commanding the Respondents to
immediately pay the due salary of the
petitioner for or payment of salary for the
period of 1993 to 1998 and 43(Fourty Three)
days, including the benefit of First A.C.P. /*



M.A.C.P., Second A.C.P. / M.A.C.P. approved to the petitioner in level-3.

(ii) For commanding the Respondents as to why the due salary and other benefits of the petitioner has not been paid till today despite the specific direction for payment of the authority concern.

(iii) For issuance of writ/writs in the nature of mandamus commanding the Respondents to immediately pay salary as per pay scale of grade- 3 (PB-1 + GRADE PAY 1800/-) to the petitioner, which was initially fixed to the petitioner.

(iv) For commanding the Respondents to pay the due salary and other benefits of the petitioner since the date of due and the date of respective payment with interest 14% per annum since the petitioner has been superannuated and no suitable action has been taken by the Respondents concerned for redressal of the grievance of the petitioner."

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities for redressal of his aforesaid grievances.



Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

