

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6286 of 2017

=====

Shambhu Kumar Son of Late Raj Kishore Yadav. Resident of Village-bank
Post Office Bank. District-Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Additional Collector, Munger.
2. The Circle Officer Sadar, Munger
3. Yugeshwar Yadav Son of Late Govind Mahto @ Govind Yadav @ Vovind Gope
4. Sahdeo Yadav
5. Inderdeo Yadav Son of Late Shibu Yadav
6. Chandra Deo Yadav
All resident of Mohalla-Shadipur Konda Maidan College Road. Police
Station Kasim Bazar. District Munger.
7. Gopichand Yadav Son of Bijo Yadav Resident of Mohalla-Shadipur. Kasim
Maidan Police Station-Kasim Bazar. District-Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Advocate
		Mr. Harshwardhan Sahay, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Rishi Raj Sinha-Sc19

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 20-08-2024

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. Following relief(s) have been prayed for in
paragraph No. 1 of the present writ application:

*“1 (i) For quashing the order dated 3.2.2017 passed
by Additional Collector, Munger in Jamabandi
cancellation Case No. 7 of 2016-17.*

*(ii) For quashing order dated 17.2.16 passed by
Circle Officer Sadar Munger in Measurement Case
No. 7 of 2014-15.*

(iii) For directing the Circle Officer, Sadar, Munger



to take measurement of land of petitioner.
(iv) Any order/orders as deem fit and proper.”

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner is having an alternative remedy by way of filing an appeal under Section 9(6)(a) of the Bihar Land Mutation Act, 2011 before the Collector of the District against the order of Additional Collector.

4. Considering the above that the petitioner is having an alternative remedy, the petitioner is directed to file an appeal within a period of four weeks along with application for condonation of delay and the concerned authority on considering the fact that the petitioner was pursuing his remedy before this Court will condone the delay and dispose of the appeal in accordance with law.

5. With the aforesaid observation/direction, the present writ application stands disposed of.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Pankaj/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	23.08.2024
Transmission Date	N/A

