

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7795 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-52 Year-2023 Thana- MUNGER MUFFASIL District- Munger

Prakash Yadav @ Prakash Kumar Son Of Anil Yadav R/O-Sitakunddih, Nath  
Tola, P.S.-Muffasil, Distt.-Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjiv Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      19-02-2024                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with  
Muffasil P.S. Case No. 52 of 2023 dated 31.01.2023 registered  
for the offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 341, 323, 325,  
307, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of  
Arms Act

3. The main submissions advanced by the learned  
counsel for the petitioner are that the petitioner has got no  
criminal antecedent and has been languishing in jail since  
03.10.2023, as per allegation, altogether 5 accused persons,  
including the petitioner, fired indiscriminately at the informant  
which caused only one fire-arm injury on the thigh of the  
informant but in view of the manner of occurrence, the said  
allegation is completely false as only one injury was not



possible in such indiscriminate firing and moreover, the informant sustained simple injury on his thigh but the injury report issued by the Doctor concerned is also suspicious as dimension of the injury was not stated in the injury report. Further submissions is that one co-accused namely, Dadan Kumar @ Dadan Yadav, carrying similar nature of allegation, has been granted anticipatory bail by a co-ordinate bench of this court vide order passed in Cri. Misc. No. 70190 of 2023 and in the FIR, there is no specific allegation against the petitioner.

4. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the bail prayer of the petitioner.

5. Considering the above submissions and mainly petitioner's fair and clean antecedent, coupled with the nature of injury of the informant as opined by the Doctor concerned discussed above, this court is inclined to accept his bail prayer. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above be enlarged on bail in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 52 of 2023 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned.

**(Shailendra Singh, J)**

Rajiv/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

