

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74641 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-179 Year-2021 Thana- DHAMDAHA District- Purnia

Mamta Devi Wife Of Manoj Rajak R/O Village- Dhamdaha Thakurbari, P.S.-
Dhamdaha, Dist.- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 7866 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-179 Year-2021 Thana- DHAMDAHA District- Purnia

Amarjeet Chaudhary Son of Manoj @ Manoj Kumar Chaudhary Resident of
Dhamdaha Uttar Tola, Ward No.-3, P.S.-Dhamdaha, District-Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 74641 of 2023)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate

Mr. Kumar Rajdeep, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anish Chandra, APP

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 7866 of 2024)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar Agrawal, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

6 20-03-2024 This is the second attempt of the petitioners to seek

bail from this Court as their prayer for bail was earlier rejected

by this Court vide order dated 31.08.2022 passed in Cr. Misc.

Nos. 71442 of 2021 and 65505 of 2021, respectively.



2. Pursuant to order dated 02.02.2024, a report dated 29.02.2024 has been received from learned trial court, wherein it has submitted that the statement of the accused persons were recorded under Section 313 of the Code of Criminal Procedure on 09.02.2024 and thereafter, the matter has been coming up for regarding the evidence of defence witnesses and two witnesses were produced, examined and discharged on 29.09.2024 on behalf of the defence and there are altogether 18 witnesses to be examined by the defence.

3. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner of Cr. Misc. No. 74641 of 2023 submits that the petitioner is a lady and she is in custody since 26.07.2021.

4. Evidently, the prosecution evidence has been closed and statement of the accused persons has already been recorded under Section 313 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and the matter has been coming up for recording the evidence of defence witnesses. The trial at its fag end, this Court is not inclined to consider the prayer for bail made by the petitioners afresh at this stage as it is the second attempt of the petitioners for grant of bail. The petitioners are directed to get their evidence concluded and the learned trial court is directed to dispose of the matter at the earliest after recording of evidence



of defence witnesses.

5. With the aforesaid observation, the petitions stand disposed of.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

DKS/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

