

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4745 of 2021

- 1.1. Md. Danish@Mohammad Danish, Son of late Md. Quasim@Mohammad Quasim, Resident of Village- Kiya Khap, P.O. and P.S. Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad.
2. Md. Wasim, Son of Late Abdul Gafoor, Resident of Village-Kiya Khap, P.O. and P.S.-Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad.
3. Md. Nasim, Son of Late Abdul Gafoor, Resident of Village-Kiya Khap, P.O. and P.S.-Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad.
4. Abdul Rauf, Son of Late Abdul Gafoor, Resident of Village-Kiya Khap, P.O. and P.S.-Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad.
5. Mohammad Amin @ Md. Amin, Son of Late Abdul Gafoor, Resident of Village-Kiya Khap, P.O. and P.S.-Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad.
6. Md. Shamim, Son of Late Abdul Gafoor, Resident of Village-Kiya Khap, P.O. and P.S.-Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad.
7. Md. Latif, Son of Late Abdul Gafoor, Resident of Village-Kiya Khap, P.O. and P.S.-Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad.
8. Saida Khatoon @ Sahda Khatoon, Daughter of Late Abdul Gafoor, Resident of Village-Kiya Khap, P.O. and P.S.-Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad.
9. Zahida Khatoon @ Zahda Khatoon, Daughter of Late Abdul Gafoor, Resident of Village-Kiya Khap, P.O. and P.S.-Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Aurangabad.
3. The Additional Collector, Aurangabad.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Aurangabad.
5. The Anchal Adhikari, Rafiganj, Aurangabad.
6. Md. Ekram, Son of Seikh Md. Yaqub, resident of Village-Duwari, P.O. and P.s.-Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad at present Secretary, Anjuman Mashekh Educational Society, Rafiganj, Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : AC to GP-14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

8 21-10-2024

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, AC to GP-



14 and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no.6.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing vehemently for sometime realizing his difficulty seeks permission to withdraw the writ application with liberty to file a fresh with proper pleadings.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Accordingly, the writ application is dismissed as withdrawn.

5. The office is directed to handover all the annexures including the order impugned to the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Prakash Narayan

U			
---	--	--	--

