

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2371 of 2017

Satya Narayan Yadav Son of late Sukhdeo Yadav, resident of Village- Mina
Bazar, Sapta, P.S.- Rahika, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Deputy Collector of Land Reforms Sadar Madhubani.
3. The Addl. Collector, Madhubani.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar Madhubani.
5. The Circle Officer, Rahika, Madhubani.
6. The Officer Incharge Rahika Police Station, Madhubani.
7. Sri Santosh Yadav, Son of Mishrilal Yadav, resident of Ekdara, P.S. Phoolparas, District- Madhubani.
8. Sri Rishikesh Kumar, Son of Dilchandra Lal, Resident of Village Bathnaha, P.S.- Phoolparas, District- Madhubani.
9. Sri Rakesh Ranjan, Son of Opendra Prasad, resident of Barantpur, P.S.- Basopatti, District- Madhubani.
10. Sri Ranjeet Kumar Badal, son of Ganga Prasad, resident of Village Mohalla Madhwapur, P.S. Madhwapur, District- Madhubani.
11. Sri Jitendra Prasad, Son of Gulab Yadav, resident of Kerwar, P.S. Bisfi, District- Madhubani.
12. Sri Amrendra Kumar, Son of Anirudh Kamat, resident of Dharmdiha, P.S.- Phoolparas, District- Madhubani.
13. That Santosh Kumar, Son of Jagdish Prasad, resident of Village- Mohalla Basopatti, P.S. Basopatti, District- Madhubani.
14. Sri Suresh Kumar Singh, Son of Bindeshwar Singh, resident of Village Shyam Sadan Lodge, P.S. Madhubani Town, District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gagan Deo Yadav, Advocate
	:	Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha, AC to SC-19

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 22-07-2024

Heard leaned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondent-State.



2. Following relief(s) have been prayed for in paragraph no. 1 of the present writ application.

“That this is an application for issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s), direction(s) for quashing the order contained in memo no. 53 dated 19.01.2016 as well as the order dated 22.01.2016 whereby and whereunder the respondent Sub-Divisional Officer recommended to the Addl. Collector to cancel the Jamabandi case on the basis of the report of the circle officer as well as the officer In-charge and the Addl. Collector accordingly re open the case and issue notices to the parties on 22.01.2016 without considering the order dated 01.09.2015 passed in Land Dispute Solutions case No. 03 of 2015-16 by the Deputy Collector of land Reforms, Madhubani and to grant any other



*consequential benefits for which
petitioner may found entitled in
accordance with law.”*

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that order dated 22.01.2016 passed in Case No. 24 of 2015-16 is not a final order. Learned counsel contends that by the said order, only notices have been issued to the parties. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner should appear before the concerned authority and respond to the notices. Therefore, the present application is premature.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, therefore, seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the present application with liberty to file a fresh application, if occasion arises.

5. With the aforesaid liberty, the writ application application stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-
Pankaj/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	25.07.2024
Transmission Date	

