

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7788 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2019 Thana- MADHUBANI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Madhubani

=====

Md. Sattar @ Abdul Sattar S/o- Md. Hanif, R/o- Village- Kaithahi, Palat Tole,
P.S.- Rajnagar, Dist.- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Shaguphata Begum D/o- Md. Naushad, R/o- Village- Kaithahi, Palat Tole,
P.S.- Rajnagar, Dist.- Madhubani.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhakar Thakur, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Complaint Case bearing CR No.71 of 2019 instituted under
Sections 498(A), 323, 379 & 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, marriage of the
opposite party no.2 was solemnized with the son of petitioner.
After some time of marriage, the husband of opposite party no.2
went to foreign and thereafter the petitioner alongwith his other
family members assaulted and abused opposite party no.2
thereafter ousted from her matrimonial house and demanded
Rs.1,00,000/- which was expensed during foreign visit.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the learned Court below has taken cognizance against the petitioner in Complaint Case No.71 of 2019 under Section 324 & 498(A) of I.P.C. He further submits that petitioner who is the father-in-law of the complainant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that there is some dispute between the complainant and her husband and the petitioner has nothing to do with the affairs between them. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is living separately from the complainant. He further submits that earlier also the father of the complainant filed a false case against the petitioner.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate 1st, Madhubani in connection with Complaint Case bearing CR No.71 of 2019, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the



Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Ritik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

