

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.107 of 2023**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10231 of 2018**

- 
- 
1. Mohan Sah Son of Late Sadho Sah resident of Shikshak Colony near Kali Mandir, Maheshpur, Aliganj, Police Station Babarganj, District Bhagalpur.
  2. Anant Yadav, Son of Parmeshwar Yadav resident of Champanagar, P.S. Nathnagar, District- Bhagalpur.
  3. Sushila Devi, Wife of Jageshwar Sah (deceased), resident of Ramtulapur, P.O.- Champanagar, P.S.- Lalmatiya, District- Bhagalpur.
  4. Umesh Mehtar, Son of Pataru Mehtar resident of Sarah Mor, P.S.- Bounsi, District Banka.
  5. Kamleshwari Mandal, Son of Chunchun Mandal resident of Village- Bhatoria, P.S. Nathnagar, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar.
2. Dipak Kumar, Principal Secretary, Department of Human Resources Development, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur through its Registrar.
4. Jawahar Lal, Vice Chancellor, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
5. Girijesh Nandan Kumar, Registrar, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

---

**Appearance :**

|                            |   |                                                                                          |
|----------------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s       | : | Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Sr. Adv.<br>Mr.Subhash Chandra Jha, Adv.<br>Mr. Pravin Kumar, Adv. |
| For the Opposite Party/s : |   | Mr.Smt. Shilpa Singh (Ga 12)                                                             |

---

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      26-07-2024                      The learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners fairly admits that this Court by its order dated 25.09.2018, has not issued any directions to the opposite parties, hence, no contempt is made out, nonetheless, he seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioners to seek redressal of their subsisting grievances by



availing such alternative remedies as are available under the law. It is needless to state that in case any legal recourse is still open to the petitioners, they are not debarred from availing the same.

2. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed off.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

Ajay/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

