

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3313 of 2024**

=====

Sarita Kumari @ Sarita Devi W/o Deepak Kumar, resident of village-  
Bhutane, Ward No.- 2, Block- Bochhan, P.S.- Hathauri, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Director, Department of Social Welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Department of Social Welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
5. The Child Development Programme Officer, Bochhan, District- Muzaffarpur.
6. The Block Development Officer, Block- Bochhan, District- Muzaffarpur.
7. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Bhutane Block- Bochhan, District- Muzaffarpur.
8. Smt. Veena Devi, W/o Nitesh Kumar, resident of village- Bhutane, Ward No. 2, Block-Bochhan, P.S.- Hathauri, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashi Bhushan Singh, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Government Pleader (19)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      14-03-2024                      The present writ petition has been filed  
seeking the following relief:-

*"1(i) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the order dated 11.04.2023 passed in Anganwari Case No. 4/2020-21 issued by District Magistrate Muzaffarpur by which the appeal of the petitioner has been rejected and also for quashing the order dated 28.01.2020 passed in Case no.28/ 2019 issued by the District*



*Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur, as order passed by the authority the same is without consideration of facts.*

*(II) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus, Commanding and directing the respondents authorities to appoint the petitioner as Anganwari Sevika of ward No.2, Centre No. 227 under gram Panchayat Raj Bhutane, Block- Bochchan."*

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a civil suit before the learned civil court of competent jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

kanchan/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

