

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1740 of 2024

Pinki Kumari Wife of Sri Arun Sharma, Resident of village and Panchayat-Prasagarhi Uttar, Ward No. 9, P.S.- Jadia, Block-Triveniganj District- Supaul, Bihar-852214.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS) Scheme, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
5. The District Programme Officer (ICDS), Supaul.
6. The Child Development Programme Officer (CDPO), Triveniganj.
7. The Female Supervisor cum Member, Selection Committee, Ward no- 09, Parsagarhi Uttar Panchayat, District- Supaul.
8. The Chairman, Selection Committee cum Ward Member, Ward No- 09, Parsagarhi Uttar Panchayat, District- Supaul.
9. Rupa Kumari, wife of Raushan Kumar, Resident of village and Panchayat-Prasagarhi Uttar, Ward No. 9, P.S.- Jadia, Block-Triveniganj District-Supaul, Bihar-852214.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amrit Abhijat, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Addl. Advocate General (12)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 29-02-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1(A) To issue a writ in the nature of certiorari setting aside the order dated 01.03.2023 (Annexure 5) passed by the District Magistrate, Supaul (Respondent no-4) in Anganwari Appeal Case no-



36/2021 and also order dated 26.02.2021 (Annexure 2) passed by the District Programme Officer (ICDS), Supaul (Respondent no-5) in Case no- 105/2018 whereby and whereunder the claim of Petitioner was rejected and the selection of Private Respondent on the post of Anganwari Sevika was upheld.

(B) To issue a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing and commanding the Respondents to appoint the Petitioner on the post of Anganwari Sevika.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a civil suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction, for redressal of her aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

