

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7274 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-167 Year-2023 Thana- BIHTA District- Patna

Akshay Kumar Singh @ Akshay Kumar Son of Subhash Singh @ Badri
Narayan Singh R/o vill - Amhara, P.S. - Bihta, Distt. - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Vinod Shanker Modi, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Bihta P.S. Case No.167 of 2023 lodged under Sections 302/34
of the I.P.C. read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the F.I.R. has been
lodged against two named and two unknown accused persons
including the petitioner against whom allegation of killing the
son of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits
that name of the petitioner has come virtue of suspicion and
there is direct witness or eye witness of this case.

5. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is



in custody since 19.05.2023 having one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail.

6. Counsel also submits that from the case diary, there is no evidence and material found against him.

7. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that it is a petitioner who killed the deceased along with other accused persons and his dead body was subsequently recovered. He submits that case diary has been called for. From the case diary, confession made by the other accused persons that the other accused persons along with the petitioner have killed the deceased and reason has also been assigned in the case diary.

8. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

9. However, trial court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Prakashmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

