

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8004 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-677 Year-2023 Thana- SITAMARHI District- Sitamarhi

Sunil Kumar Yadav @ Sunil Yadav @ Sunil Kr. S/O Vindeshwar Rai @
Bindeshwar Ray Resident Of Village-Joka (Tandaspur), P.S.-Bathnaha,
District-Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Hans Lal Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Narendra Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

The instant application for regular bail has been
filed by the petitioner registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 366(A), 363, 365, 370, 372,
373, 376, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Sections
3, 4, 5 and 6 of the Prevention of Immoral Trafficking
Act and Sections 4, 8 and 12 of the POCSO Act.

As per allegation in the FIR, petitioner in
connivance with other accused persons are indulged in
immoral trafficking. On 30.08.2023, Mini Taj Hotel was



surrounded by the police and a raid was conducted in the hotel's room from which three male accused persons including the petitioner and one girl were arrested. Victim girl came out from the room in weeping position and disclosed to the police that she was forced by the petitioner and other accused persons or prostitution.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner and other accused persons. No objectionable or illegal article was recovered either from the petitioner or from the hotel's room. It is mentioned in the impugned order that statement of the victim girl was recorded u/s 164 Cr.P.C. wherein she has not supported the prosecution case as alleged in the FIR. The petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 31.08.2023. A statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned APP appearing for the State has



opposed the prayer of Bail.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Special Judge, POCSO Act, Sitamarhi in connection with Sitamarhi (Mehsaal O.P) PS Case No. 677 of 2023.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Shageer/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

