

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1018 of 2022

1. Binit Kumar Son of Sri Arvind Kumar, Resident of Village-Hardi Vag, P.S.-Gamahariya, District-Supaul.
2. Vinay Kumar Son of Sri Jasilal Mehta, Resident of Village and P.O.-Mirjawa, P.S.-Triveniganj, District-Supaul.
3. Guru Prasad Choudhary Son of Sri Ramesh Chaudhary, Resident of Village-Dharhara, P.O.-Balwahat, P.S.-Bakhtiyarpur, District-Saharsa.
4. Sanjay Kumar Son of Sri Shiv Kumar Yadav Resident of Village-Parshi, P.S.-Triveniganj, District-Supaul.

... .. Petitioners.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Additional Chief Secretary Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director Primary Education, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Presiding Officer District Teachers Appellate Authority, Supaul.
4. The District Programme Officer Establishment, Supaul.
5. The District Education Officer Supaul.
6. The Sub Divisional Education Officer Triveniganj, Supaul.
7. The Block Education Officer Triveniganj, Block-Triveniganj, Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dinu Kumar, Advocate Mrs. Ritika Rani, Advocate Mr. Vardaan Mangalam, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Kr. Roy-1, SC-13

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

5 09-05-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the respondents.

2. As prayed for by learned counsel for the petitioners, he is permitted to make necessary correction in para-1 (A) of this writ application.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners stands on the



similar footing of the case of petitioner of C.W.J.C. No.1149 of 2022, which was disposed of vide judgment dated 28.03.2024 passed by this Court and this writ application may be disposed of in same terms.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents State has no objection to the aforesaid submission.

5. In that view of the matter, the petitioners are directed to file a representation before the respondent no.4 along with a copy of this order and the judgment dated 28.03.2024 within a period of four weeks from today and the respondent no.4 is directed to pass a reasoned and speaking order after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, if necessary, within eight weeks thereafter. Thereafter, respondent no.5 would be obliged to pay the admitted dues to the petitioners after passing of the order by respondent no.4.

6. It goes without saying that non-compliance of this order within the stipulated period would entail serious consequences.

7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Trivedi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

