

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2044 of 2024

=====

Dular Manjhi Son of Sri Kari Manjhi, Resident of village and P.O.- Sirsi, P.S.- Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi, Bihar- 843333.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Welfare and Social Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Collector-cum-District Officer-cum-District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Pupri, District- Sitamarhi.
6. The Circle Officer, Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi.
7. The Additional Collector-cum-Public Grievance Redressal Officer-cum-First Appellate Authority, Sitamarhi.
8. Ramajatan Sah, Son of Late Jungli Sah Resident of village and P.O.- Sirsi, P.S.- Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi, Bihar- 843333.
9. Shivlochan Sah, Son of Late Jungli Sah Resident of village and P.O.- Sirsi, P.S.- Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi, Bihar- 843333.
10. Ramdeo Sah, Son of Jago Sah Resident of village and P.O.- Sirsi, P.S.- Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi, Bihar- 843333.
11. Satyanarayan Sah, Son of Bechan Sah Resident of village and P.O.- Sirsi, P.S.- Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi, Bihar- 843333.
12. Santu Baitha, Son of Late Bilat Baitha Resident of village and P.O.- Sirsi, P.S.- Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi, Bihar- 843333.
13. Suresh Baitha, Son of Late Ramashish Baitha Resident of village and P.O.- Sirsi, P.S.- Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi, Bihar- 843333.
14. Ujjan Rai, Son of Birchand Rai Resident of village and P.O.- Sirsi, P.S.- Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi, Bihar- 843333.
15. Umesh Baitha, Son of Nathuni Baitha Resident of village and P.O.- Sirsi, P.S.- Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi, Bihar- 843333.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amrit Abhijat
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Pleader 6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 16-05-2024 Heard Mr. Amrit Abhijit, learned counsel for the



petitioner and Government Pleader No. 6 for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for:

issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus, commanding and directing the Respondents and in particular Respondent no. 4, the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Sitamarhi; to restore possession of the land in favour of petitioner, as Respondents 2nd set have illegally encroached the land appertaining to the lands, details of which are as follows:

<u>Khata No.</u>	<u>Khesra No.</u>	<u>Area</u>
989	1000	11 decimal
	1095	12.5 decimal
	1096	11 decimal
	1103	11 decimal
	1411	8 decimal
	Total	50 decimal

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner candidly accept that all the documents that are part of the writ petition is of the year 2018 and in that background, the development that took place thereafter has to be ascertained and accordingly, the petitioner will be taking appropriate steps for approaching the appropriate authority. He submits that the writ petition be disposed of with the direction that if he approaches the authorities, the same be considered.



4. It goes without saying that if any objection/representation is preferred, the authority concerned shall look into the matter and do the needful.

5. The writ petition stands disposed of without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Jagdish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

