

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
FIRST APPEAL No.395 of 1987

=====

Sat Devi, Wife of Ram Narain Singh, Resident of Village- Pirouta Khas, P.O. Nachaipur, P.S. Barinappur, District-Saran.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. Prabhunath Singh, Son of late Baijnath Singh, Resident of Village- Bhawani Tola, P.S. Maner, District- Patnaat Present at Village- Narhanpur, P.S. Narhawah, District- Saran.
2. Sikandar Singh, Son of late Baijnath Singh, Resident of Village- Bhawani Tola, P.S. Maner, District- Patnaat Present at Village- Narhanpur, P.S. Narhawah, District- Saran.
3. Siya Ram Singh, Son of late Baijnath Singh, Resident of Village- Bhawani Tola, P.S. Maner, District- Patnaat Present at Village- Narhanpur, P.S. Narhawah, District- Saran.
4. Gautam Singh, Son of late Baijnath Singh, Resident of Village- Bhawani Tola, P.S. Maner, District- Patnaat Present at Village- Narhanpur, P.S. Narhawah, District- Saran.
5. Chandrakala Kuer, Wife of late Baijnath Singh, Resident of Village- Bhawani Tola, P.S. Maner, District- Patnaat Present at Village- Narhanpur, P.S. Narhawah, District- Saran.
6. Siya Pyari Devi, Wife of Sheo Pujan Choudhary, Resident of Village- Belour, P.S. Udwantnagar, District-Bhojpur.
7. Nayan Devi, Wife of Jagjiwan Choudhary, Resident of Village- Belour, P.S. Udwantnagar, District-Bhojpur.
8. Malti Devi, Wife of Jitendra Chaudhary, Resident of Village- Belour, P.S. Udwantnagar, District-Bhojpur.
9. Kamod Devi, Wife of Mahabir Singh, Of Village-Nisarpura, P.S. Bikram, District- Patna.
10. Rita Devi, Wife of Subagh Mishra, Of Village Maghar, P.S. Basantpur, District-Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : None

For the Respondent/s : None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

16 29-08-2024

As per office notes, this appeal has been dismissed for
default against Respondent nos. 1 to 5 on 18.07.1991 vide Order



no. 10 dated 04.07.1991 and against Respondent nos. 9 & 10 on
12.04.2018 vide Order no. 13 dated 14.03.2018.

2. None appears for the parties.

3. Even on the last two occasions i.e. on 08.07.2024
and on 06.08.2024, no one had appeared on behalf of the
appellant. It appears that the appellant has lost interest in
pursuing the matter.

4. In view of the above, the appeal is dismissed for
non-prosecution.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

