

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9779 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1714 Year-2016 Thana- BHOJPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Bhojpur

=====

ROHIT SINGH @ ROHIT KUMAR SINGH SON OF RAMNATH SINGH
Resident of Village - Jokahari, P.S. - Barhara (Krishnagarh), District -
Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR BIHAR
2. AJAY NARAYAN SINGH SON OF SRI BABAN SINGH RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE - PAWAT, P.S. - ARA MUFASIL, DISTRICT - BHOJPUR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sharada Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 28-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Complaint Case No. 1714 (C) of 2016
registered for the offence/s punishable u/ss 498A and 323 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the
co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the complainant
mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of Rs.
one lakh as dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is the husband of the complainant. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the complainant. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the complainant is a patient of mental disorder. Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of "**Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.**" Learned counsel has further submitted that Section 498A of the IPC is triable by the Magistrate. Learned counsel has further relied on the judgments in the case of **Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another (2022) 10 SCR 351** and **Md. Asfak Alam Vs. The State of Jharkhand & Anr** passed in Criminal Appeal No(s). 2207 of 2023 arising out of **Special Leave Petition (CRL.) No. 3433 of 2023**. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the allegation being general and omnibus,



let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Complaint Case No. 1714 (C) of 2016, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, with further condition/s:-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

