

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3139 of 2020

Devendra Rajak son of Sri Chandradev Rajak, resident of vill and P.O.-
Shishiya, P.S.- Korha, District- Katihar (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar.
2. Secretary to Govt. of Bihar, Department of Human Development Resources,
Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director of Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Collector of District Katihar.
5. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Purnea.
6. The District Education Officer, Katihar.
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Katihar.
8. The Block Development Officer, Kodha, Distt.- Katihar.
9. The Block Education Extension Officer, Kodha, District- Katihar.
10. The Head Master of New Primary School, Satyanarain Tola, Bishanpur,
Distt.- Katihar.
11. The Panchayat Secretary, Panchayat Raj Baharkhal, P.S.- Kodha, District-
Katihar.
12. The Mukhiya of Panchayat Raj, Baharkhal, P.S. - Kodha, District- Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Pratap Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Binita Singh (Sc28)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 09-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following reliefs:

*“(i) For issuance of writ in the nature of a writ of
mandamus directing and commanding the
respondents to pay regular salary to the petitioner
for which he is legally entitled since his appointment*



on 10.04.2007 and joining on 16.04.2007 (in the school) but has paid till September, 2019, not paid a penny since October 2019.

(ii) For issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari setting aside and quashing the order of District Programme Officer (Establishment), Katihar dated 06.12.2019 whereby relying upon another writ petition where the present petitioner is not a party before the court to the best of his knowledge and information has been used against the petitioner for the proposed termination of the petitioner without following the due process of law.

(iii) Pass an order of ad-interim stay maintaining status-quo about petitioner's 13 years uninterrupted, unquestioned service to its employer by imparting education in the posted school.

(iv) Pass any other order(s), issue writ(s) as your lordships may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case in hand.”

3. Considering the prayer made in the writ application, the petitioner is directed to file an appeal before the District Appellate Authority within four weeks and the District Appellate Authority is well advised to consider the case of the petitioner and pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law.

4. With the aforesaid direction, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U			
---	--	--	--

