

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4752 of 2021

-
-
1. Rakesh Kumar Son of Sri Ram Balak Tiwari Resident of Village- Mahathi-Chandauli, P.s.- Belsand, District- Sitamarhi (Bihar)
 2. Ram Ekbal Thakur S/o Late Bisheshwar Thakur Resident of Village- Bharwari-Chandauli, P.s.- Belsand, District- Sitamarhi (Bihar)
 3. Uma Shankar Pandey S/o Late Dharkhan Pandey Resident of Village- Mahathi-Chandauli, P.s.- Belsand, District- Sitamarhi (Bihar)
 4. Sanjay Kumar Pandey S/o Sri Kapil Pandey Resident of Village- Mahathi-Chandauli, P.s.- Belsand, District- Sitamarhi (Bihar)
 5. Kaushlendra Singh R/o Village- Mahathi-Chandauli, P.s.- Belsand, District- Sitamarhi (Bihar)
 6. Ram Chandra Singh R/o Village- Mahathi-Chandauli, P.s.- Belsand, District- Sitamarhi (Bihar)
 7. Pappu Bhandari R/o Village- Chandauli, P.s.- Belsand, District- Sitamarhi (Bihar)
 8. Shaket Kumar S/o Sri Jai Govind Singh R/o Village- Mahathi-Chandauli, P.s.- Belsand, District- Sitamarhi (Bihar)

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reform, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Belsand (Sitamarhi)
4. The Circle Officer, Belsand (Sitamarhi)
5. The Managing Director, Bihar Rajya Pul Nirman Nigam Ltd., 7, Sardar Patel Marg, Virchand Patel path, Patna (Bihar)
6. The Senior Project Engineer, Bihar Rajya Pul Nirman Nigam Ltd., work Division, Sitamarhi

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tejendra Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Birendra Prasad Singh, AC to SC-19

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

7 19-08-2024 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the

learned counsel appearing on behalf of Bihar Rajya Pul Nirman



Nigam Limited and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although the State respondents have paid the compensation amount to the petitioners, but the State had not paid the compensation amount in accordance with rule (as per MVA rate fixed by the Government).

3. The writ petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to approach the authority concerned for redressal of his grievance.

4. Accordingly, with the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U			
---	--	--	--

