

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7133 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2023 Thana- NATWAR District- Rohtas

Pintu Singh @ Spendu Kumar @ Sapendu Singh @ Spendra Singh Son of
Tuntun Singh, aged about 30 years, Gender-male, R/o vill - Mungaw, P.S. -
Karan Sarai, Distt. - Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Nikhil, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

2 20-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
registered for an offence punishable under Section 395 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. Allegation against the accused persons including
this petitioner is that they snatched the mobile phone of the
informant and his friend along with Rs.1000/- from them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner
is not named in the FIR and his name surfaced on the basis of
confessional statement of co-accused Rohit Kumar. He next
submits that similarly situated co-accused has already been
granted regular bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court passed
in Cr. Misc. No.70574 of 2023 vide order dated 07.11.2023
(Annexure-4 to the present petition). Petitioner is in custody



since 21.07.2023.

5. However, learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts and submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, let the above named petitioner be released on bail *after framing of the charge* on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District & Sessions Judge-I, Sasaram (Rohtas) in connection with Natwar P.S. Case No. 34 of 2023 (Sessions Trial No. 880 of 2023).

7. The trial Court is directed to conclude the proceeding of framing of charge according to the law within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. However, it is made clear that *if the charge-sheet has not been submitted*, then the above named petitioner shall be released on bail on above conditions and the petitioner shall be present physically on each and every date before the trial Court till conclusion of the proceeding of framing of charge.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Brajesh Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

