

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7231 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-490 Year-2022 Thana- MADANPUR District- Aurangabad

PAPPU KUMAR @ CHHOTU SON OF DWARIKA YADAV RESIDENT
OF VILLAGE - JAMUNIYA, P.S. - MADANPUR, DISTRICT -
AURANGABAD (BIHAR)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Aman Vishal

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Mushtaque Alam

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 16-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Madanpur P.S. Case No. 490 of 2022 registered on 25.09.2022
under Sections 147, 148, 149, 353, 307, 120B of the I.P.C. and
Section 25 (1-b)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act and Section 3 4 5 of
the Explosives Act, 1884 and Sections 13, 16, 18, 20 of the
Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967.

3. As per the prosecution case, F.I.R. has been lodged
against 14 named and 20 unknown accused persons against
whom there is an allegation that they are involved in Maoist
activities.

4. Counsel further submits that petitioner is innocent



and has committed no offence. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 03.08.2023 having five criminal case pending against him. Counsel for the petitioner submits that at the instance of police machinery, he has been declared *Ugravadi*. He further submits that no cogent material has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that petitioner is the core member of organization who are involved in anti-national activities and it is due to this reason U.A.P.A. Act has been added.

6. Upon specific query made by this Court that whether charge has been framed or not, counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not aware of this fact that charge has been framed or not.

7. In the present facts and circumstances of this case, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. However, petitioner is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Sunnykr/-

U			
---	--	--	--

