

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2429 of 2024

=====

Pinki Shikha Sonia W/o Pramod Rai, R/o village- Bhadaiya, P.S. -
Mohiuddinnagar, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principle Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Directorate of I.C.D.S, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga.
4. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
5. The District Programme Officer, Samastipur.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Mohiuddinnagar Block, Samastipur.
7. Smt. Sunita Kumari W/O Ramaslok Rai, R/o village- Bhadaiya, P.S. -
Mohiuddinnagar, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Government Pleader (4)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH

ORAL ORDER

2 07-03-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

*"1(i) For quashing the order dated 08.06.2020
issued by respondent District Programme
Officer, Samastipur in Anganwari Appeal Case
no. 01/03 of 2019 whereby he has set aside
the order contained in Memo no. 466 dated
13.09.2019 passed by Respondent C.D.P.O.,*



whereby selection of the petitioner for the post of Anganwari Sewika of Center no. 154 under Bhadaiya Gram Panchayat has been set aside.

(ii) For further to quash the order dated 06.05.2023 passed by respondent Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga in Anganwari Revision Case No. 11 of 2020, whereby he has recalled his earlier order dated 02.07.2022 and has affirmed the order passed by Respondent District Programme Officer, cancelling selection of the petitioner for the post of Anganwari Sewika.

iii) For further direction upon the respondent authorities to reinstate the petitioner upon her post for which order was passed by respondent C.D.P.O. vide order contained in Memo No.466 dated 13.09.2019."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a civil suit before the learned civil court of competent jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought,



is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

