

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.675 of 2022

Jyotish Prasad Son of Rajeshwar Prasad, Residing at Gautam Nagar, Gangjala, Ward No. 12, P.S. - Sadar, District - Saharsa at present posted at Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Kopariya, Situaha, Samarkhurd, Block - Salkhua, District – Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Panchayat Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Saharsa, District - Saharsa.
5. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Saharsa, District - Saharsa.
6. The Deputy Development Commissioner-Cum-Chief Executive Officer, Saharsa, District - Saharsa.
7. The Sub Divisional Officer, Saharsa, District - Saharsa.
8. The Block Development Officer, Maheshi, District - Saharsa.
9. The Block Development Officer, Salkhua, District - Saharsa.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhav Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kameshwar Pd. Gupta, GP-10

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 12-11-2024 At the outset, Mr. Madhav Jha, learned Advocate for the petitioner fairly submits that during the pendency of the writ petition the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and the admissible salary has already been paid.

2. Learned Advocate for the State reiterates the statement of the learned Advocate for the petitioner.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts, the writ petition



stands dismissed having become infructuous.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U			
---	--	--	--

