

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3780 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-732 Year-2020 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

Sudhir Kumar S/O RAMESH RAI VILLAGE- SABALPUR NEWAL TOLA,
PS. SONEPUR, DIST. GOPALGANJ.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Avnish Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Sumiran Rai, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 09-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in connection with Sonepur P.S. Case No. 732 of 2020 dated 10.10.2020 for the offence/s punishable u/s 30(a), 38 and 40(i) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 25 litres of illicit country made liquor kept in a plastic gallon was recovered from the land of the co-accused Narayan Rai.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The recovery was made



from an open place that is accessible to anyone. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. Local Mukhiya disclosed the name of the petitioner. The petitioner has three criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on



anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/-
(Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Saran at
Chapra in connection with Sonepur P.S. Case No. 732 of 2020,
subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the
Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

