

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1653 of 2024

Surendra Kumar Thakur Son of Shobhakant Thakur, Resident of near
Bhagwat Milan Mandir, Boudh Vihar Colony- 2, Police Station-Sampatchak,
District- Patna. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India, R. No. 310, Transport Bhawan, 1, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110 001.
2. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Additional Chief Secretary, Road Construction Department, (Road Transport and Highways), Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Chief Engineer, N.H. Wing (North), Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, District- Samastipur.
7. The Executive Engineer, R.C.D. (N.H. 122,B), N.H. Division, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda.
8. The Executive Engineer, N.H. Division, Gulzarbagh, Patna.
9. The District Land Acquisition Officer, District- Samastipur.
10. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Patori, District- Samastipur.
11. The Circle Officer, Patori, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vibhuti Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Additional Solicitor General

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 10-05-2024 Heard Mr. Vibhuti Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for issuance
of an appropriate writ Mandamus order/orders, command/
commands or any in the nature other of writ/ writs, direction/
directions, for directing the respondents to make suitable award
for the land of the petitioner which has been demarcated without
notice appertaining to Khata No. 457, Khesra No. 460 Old, 1020



New, Thana No. 214, Area 4 Decimals, under N.H. 122b vide demarcated in Revenue Village Chandpur under Patori Sub-Division, District-Samastipur.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his land is also being acquired but compensation has not been extended.

4. Learned State Counsel, on the other hand submits that the Gazetted Notification that has been attached, does not show the name of the petitioner.

5. In that background, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the respondent no. 9, the District Land Acquisition Officer, Samastipur alongwith all the relevant documents so that his grievance/payment of compensation is considered.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of granting said liberty.

7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

kiran/-

U			
---	--	--	--

