

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1218 of 2020

Arvind Kumar, Son of Sri Ram Prit Ram, presently residing at White House,
House No. 03, Kailashpuri, Hanuman Nagar, P.S. Kankarbagh, Patna 800020.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bihar State Power (Holding) Co. Ltd. - through its Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Office at Vidyut Bhawan, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road), P.S. Kotwali, Patna- 800021.
2. Bihar State Power Transmission Co. Ltd. - through its Managing Director, Office at Vidyut Bhawan, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road), P.S. Kotwali, Patna- 800021.
3. Bihar State Power Generation Co. Ltd.-through its Managing Director, Office at Vidyut Bhawan, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road), P.S. Kotwali, Patna- 800021.
4. South Bihar Power Distribution Co. Ltd.- through its Managing Director, Office at Vidyut Bhawan, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road), P.S. Kotwali, Patna- 800021.
5. North Bihar Power Distribution Co. Ltd.-through its Managing Director, Office at Vidyut Bhawan, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road), P.S. Kotwali, Patna- 800021.
6. General Manager (HR and Adm), South Bihar Power Distribution Co. Ltd., Office at Vidyut Bhawan, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road), P.S. Kotwali, Patna- 800021.
7. Chief Engineer (Commercial), South Bihar Power Distribution Co. Ltd. All have got their Offices at Vidyut Bhawan, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road), P.S. Kotwali, Patna- 800021.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vaibhav Vir Shankar, Adv. Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Adv.
For the BPHCL	:	Ms. Soni Shrivastava, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

13 25-11-2024 At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits at the Bar that despite his best efforts, he could not get instruction(s) from his client and, as such, he is not in a position to press the present writ petition.



2. Ms. Soni Shrivastava, learned counsel for the Bihar State Power Holding Company Limited is present. She, however, submits that counter affidavit as well as supplementary counter affidavit have already been filed on behalf of the respondent-Company, but there is no response.

3. In view thereof, the writ petition stands dismissed having not pressed.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

