



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 144/2023**

RITU JOHARI

..... Appellant

Through: Appellant-in-person.

versus

VINEET TANEJA

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

27.05.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM No.31997/2024

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

CM No.31996/2024

2. This is an application moved on behalf of the appellant in a disposed of appeal. The substantive reliefs sought in the application read as follows:

“a) Pass an order thereby restraining the Respondent from visiting the school of the minor child and interacting with the minor child, school teachers and the principal of the school, or interfering with her study in any manner, whatsoever, on PTM and all other days.

b) Stop Respondent's access to the minor child's school parental portal till the final disposal of the present petition.”

3. It is not in dispute that the non-applicant/respondent has filed a petition, i.e., CM(M)No.1523/2023 in which the order dated 18.09.2023 has been passed whereby, learned Single Judge has stayed further proceedings in the Guardianship Petition No.48/12/2018, titled ***Vineet Taneja v. Ritu Taneja***, pending before the Family Court.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 144/2023

page 1 of 2



3.1 Furthermore, the learned Single Judge, *via* the very same order, has reiterated that on account of the judgment delivered by the coordinate bench, dated 19.05.2023, the visitation rights of the non-applicant/respondent shall remain suspended till a fresh final order is passed by the Family Court.

4. The applicant/appellant, who appears in person, says that the non-applicant/respondent is violating the directions issued by the coordinate bench.

5. We have indicated to the applicant/appellant that filing an application in a disposed of matter is not the appropriate manner in which the applicant/appellant can agitate her grievance.

6. Thus, the applicant/appellant, as prayed, is permitted to withdraw the application with liberty to take recourse to a suitable remedy, *albeit* as per law.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

MAY 27, 2024

aj

Click here to check corrigendum, if any