



\$~66

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

Judgment delivered on: 17.10.2023

+

W.P. (C) 13716/2023

SHYAM BRIKSH SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Advocates who appeared in this case:

For the Petitioner:

Ms. Neetu Kumari, Advocate.

For the Respondents:

Mr. Amit Gupta, SPC with Ms. Perna Dhall,
Advocate and Mr. R.N. Pareek, CRPF.**CORAM:-****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN****JUDGMENT****SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J. (ORAL)**

1. Petitioner seeks a direction to the respondents to fix the pay of the petitioner at par with his junior and also claim arrears of the pay. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that a representation has been given to the respondents on 04.09.2023
2. Learned counsel for respondents submits that the representation



has been sent by post on 04.09.2023.

3. In view of the above, writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner sent on 04.09.2023 as expeditiously as possible in accordance with the law. Needless to say, in case petitioner is aggrieved by any order passed on his representation, he would be at liberty to avail of the remedy as may be available in law.

4. Order *dasti* under the signatures of the Court Master.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

OCTOBER 17, 2023
NA

MANOJ JAIN, J