



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

% *Decided on: 1st June, 2023*

+ W.P.(C) 5492/2023 & CM APPL. 21422/2023

MASTER DIVYANSH AGRAWAL MINOR..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Piyo Harold Jaimon
appearing for Dr. Amit George,
Mr. Amol acharya, Mr.
Rayadurgam Bharat & Mr.
Arkaneil Bhaumik, Advocates

versus

DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION & ORS..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Santosh Kr. Tripathi,
SC(Civil)GNCTD with Mr.
Utkarsh Singh, Advocate
(M:9129829862)

CORAM:


HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

[Physical Hearing/ Hybrid Hearing]

MINI PUSHKARNA, J. (ORAL):

1. The present writ petition has been filed with the grievance that the petitioner has not been granted admission in the respondent No.2 school. The petitioner had completed his education till Class – 5 from respondent No.3 school. Thus, the petitioner sought admission under the respondent No.2 school, which is under the aegis of the same body, i.e., Vidya Bharti Akhil Bhartiya Shiksha Sansthan.

2. The main grievance of the petitioner was that the admission had been denied to the petitioner solely on the ground that the father of the petitioner was unable to pay the annual charges as levied by





respondent No.2 school.

3. On the last date of hearing on 12.05.2023, this Court had recorded the undertaking of the father of the petitioner that he was ready to pay the annual charges of the respondent No.2 school, though in instalments on monthly basis, instead of payment as lumpsum amount at one time.

4. Considering the aforesaid submissions on behalf of the father of the petitioner, this Court had directed the respondent No.2 school to grant admission to the petitioner in Class 6 forthwith. It had further been directed by the order dated 12.05.2023 that the fees of the school shall be deposited on behalf of the petitioner in terms of the fees as charged by respondent No.2 school. As far as annual charges of Rs.10,200/- was concerned, it was directed that the same shall be paid by the petitioner in the monthly instalments at Rs.850/-.

5. Today, Mr. Piyo Harold Jaimon, Id. Counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner child has already been granted admission to the respondent No.2 school.

6. In view of the aforesaid, no further orders are required to be passed in the present matter.

7. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of along with pending application.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

JUNE 1, 2023

au