

\$~28

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

% Date of decision: 10th April,, 2023

+ W.P.(C) 4324/2023 & CM APPL. 16643/2023

SHRI BHAGWAN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. A.K. Mehta & Ms. Gunjan
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nirvikar Verma, CGSC
with Mr. Kautilya Birat,
Advocate for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Vide the present petition, the petitioner is seeking following reliefs:

“a.) Call for the Records;

*b) Issue writ of Certiorari or any other like writ, order or
direction in the nature thereof quashing the Impugned
23.09.2022 passed by Respondent No. 4;*

c) Restore the posting of the petitioner as SI (Exe). ”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made the Revision Petition dated 09.03.2023 before the respondent No. 2/Director General, CISF against the Order dated 19.12.2022, however, the same has not yet been decided by the respondent No. 2.

3. Accordingly, we hereby dispose of the present petition directing the

respondent No. 2/Director General, CISF to decide the Revision Petition dated 09.03.2023 of the petitioner within four weeks from today.

4. The decision, so taken, shall be communicated to the petitioner within one week thereafter with a reasoned order.

5. Accordingly, the present petition along with pending application is disposed of.

6. Needless to state that if the petitioner is still aggrieved by the decision of the respondents, he may challenge the same before the appropriate forum.



(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)
JUDGE

(NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA)
JUDGE

APRIL 10, 2023

S.Sharma