

\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of decision: 05th April, 2023

+

W.P.(C) 4134/2023 & CM APPL. 15999-16001/2023

INDER VEER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. P. Sureshan, Advocate

versus

DIRECTOR GENERAL CISF AND ORS

.... Respondents

**Through: Mr. Shubham Mahajan and Mr.
Vedansh, Advocates with Mr. Amit
Sharma, CISF**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Vide the present petition, petitioner prays as under:

a) To issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondent, DG CISF, New Delhi, to post the petitioner in his home sector units at any other NCR Units in terms of the circular dated 25.9.2017 as he is now in his fourth tenure of posting.

b) Quash and set aside the order titled as SERVICE ORDER PART-I NO. 33 / 2023 dated 12.3.2023, and APS HQRS SERVICE ORDER Part-I NO. 03 /2023. dated 22.3.2023, qua the petitioner, wherein petitioner has been transferred from the present Unit of DMRC Delhi to ASG Bangalore after ignoring his entitlement to remain at any of the Home Sector Units.

c) Direct the respondent to follow their policy guidelines while posting aged CISF personnel in Airport Sector Units.

d) Direct the respondents to pay cost of this litigation to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for respondents, who is appearing on advance notice, has informed this Court that petitioner made a representation dated 24.03.2023 which is pending before respondents for consideration. Thus, the present petition is at pre-mature stage.

3. In view of above, we hereby direct the respondents to decide the abovementioned representation filed by the petitioner within four weeks from today and communicate the decision thereof to the petitioner within one week thereafter with reasoned order.

4. Until the representation dated 24.03.2023 is decided by the respondents, the transfer order dated 22.03.2023 qua petitioner shall remain stayed.

5. With the aforesaid directions, the present petition is disposed of.

6. Needless to say, if the petitioner feels aggrieved by the decision of the respondents on his representation, he may approach the appropriate forum.

(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)
JUDGE

(MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA)
JUDGE

APRIL 05, 2023/rk