

\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

% ***Date of decision: 15th March, 2023***

+ **W.P.(C) 3163/2023 & CM APPL. 12209/2023**

MRITUNJAY MISHRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Khushhal Aggarwal, Mr. Saurabh
Sharma, Mr. Vishal Arun Mishra, Mr.
Jaskaran Singh Bhandari and Ms.
Supriti, Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.... Respondents

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, Senior Panel
Counsel with Ms. Vidhi Gupta, GP,
Mr. Shubham Pundhir and Mr. Anuj
Chaturvedi, Advocates

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Vide this petition, petitioner is seeking directions to respondent No.2 to take necessary steps to release the pension as well as other applicable benefits to the petitioner.
2. It is not in dispute that petitioner was dismissed from service vide order dated 23.12.1983. Being aggrieved, petitioner filed a revision petition which was dismissed vide order dated 03.12.1986. Thereafter, petitioner again being aggrieved filed a review petition before the competent authority and the same was also dismissed vide order dated 03.07.1987. Thereafter,

petitioner continued to file representations here and there, however, the same were filed without any statutory provisions. Now, the petitioner has filed present petition for the said relief(s).

3. In view of above, this petition is hit by delay and laches.
4. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.
5. Pending application also stands dismissed.

(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)
JUDGE

(NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA)
JUDGE

MARCH 15, 2023/rk

