

\$~35

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

% Date of decision: 08th February, 2023

+ W.P.(C) 1607/2023

ANIL KUMAR DUBEY

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Raghunath Pathak,
Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar Yadav, Sr.
Panel Counsel, Mr. Amit
Acharya, Govt. Pleader & Ms.
Rukhsar Jahan, Advocate for
UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. *Vide* the present petition, the petitioner is seeking following relief:
“(i) Pass an order directing the respondent No. 2, I.T.B.P for deciding the representations made by the petitioner 11.08.2021”
2. We hereby dispose of the present petition directing the respondent No. 2 to decide the representation of the petitioner made on 11.08.2021 within four weeks from today and the decision so taken shall be communicated to the petitioner in writing within one week thereafter.
3. The petition is disposed of.
4. Needless to say, if the petitioner is aggrieved by the decision of the

W.P.(C) 1607/2023

Page 1 of 2

respondents, he may challenge the same before the appropriate forum.

(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)
JUDGE

(NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA)
JUDGE

FEBRUARY 08, 2023
S.Sharma

