

\$~24

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

*Date of decision: 06.01.2023*

+ W.P.(C) 133/2023  
SARBRAJ SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay Kumar Bhargava and Mr.  
Satyaarth R. Sinha, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Jitesh Vikram Srivastava, SPC  
with Mr. Rahul Kumar Sharma, GP  
Mr. Paramveer Singh, AC (law) BSF

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA**

**J U D G M E N T (oral)**

1. The petitioner in his petition has made following prayer:

a) *To direct the respondents to adjudicate the post-confirmation statutory petition dated 19.12.2022 preferred under Section 117 (2) of the BSF Act, 1968 R/w Rule 167 of the BSF Rules, 1969 as well as petition dated 19.12.2022 preferred under Section 130 of the BSF Act for suspension of sentence.*

2. Without commenting on the merit of the case of the respondents, we hereby dispose of the present petition directing the respondents to adjudicate the post-confirmation statutory petition dated 19.12.2022 preferred by the petitioner under Section 117 (2) of the BSF Act, 1968 R/w Rule 167 of the BSF Rules, 1969 as well as petition dated 19.12.2022 preferred under

Section 130 of the BSF Act, 1968 within 6 weeks from today.

3. Needless to say that if the petitioner still aggrieved, he may challenge the same before the appropriate Court.
4. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

**(SURESH KUMAR KAIT)**  
**JUDGE**

**(NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA)**  
**JUDGE**

**January 6, 2022/PA**

