

CRWP No.12550 of 2023

#1#

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRWP No.12550 of 2023

Date of decision : 27.12.2023

Naveen Kumar and Anr

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Kusum Raj, Advocate for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that inadvertently in the memo of parties, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Jind, has been written whereas it should have been Superintendent of Police, District Jind. She prays for allowing her to file amended memo of parties by mentioning respondent No.2 as Superintendent of Police, District Jind.

Allowed to do so.

Amended memo of parties be filed during the course of the day.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents no.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents no.4 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents no.4 to 8 and have sought protection of their life and liberty. He has submitted that this is their first marriage and they apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 8. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-3. The

CRWP No.12550 of 2023

#2#

petitioners have submitted a representation dated 25.12.2023 (Annexure P-4) to Superintendent of Police, District Jind-respondent no.2.

Notice of motion to official respondents no.1 to 3-State only.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, A.A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, District Jind, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

Disposed of

27.12.2023

jyoti3

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable : No