

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-29091-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 22.12.2023

Chanderjeet Yadav
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Karan Jindal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, for respondents No.2 & 3.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits, the limited grievance that the petitioner has is that despite his representations dated 05.10.2023 (P-9) and 05.12.2023 (P-10), the respondent-authorities have not taken cognizance of the matter. And as a result, interest of the petitioner continues to suffer.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr Karan Jindal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, on behalf of respondent No.1-State, and Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, on behalf of respondents No.2 & 3, are present in Court.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 submits that if indeed any such representations are pending before the competent authority, the same shall be dealt with at the earliest. And the necessary orders shall be passed thereon, in accordance with law, and communicated to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3. However, he submits that the competent authority be directed to take a decision within a specified time.

To which, learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 submits that the necessary orders shall be passed within a period of 8 weeks. He further submits that the petitioner shall also be at liberty to furnish any fresh material to support/substantiate his claim, provided it is furnished within a week from today.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is disposed of.

This Court is sanguine that the competent authority shall consider the matter in the right earnest, and pass the necessary orders.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

22.12.2023
Rajan