

132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29323-2023

Date of decision: 22.12.2023

M/s Sohal Bus Service Regd. Amritsar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Addl. A. G., Punjab
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the competent authority to grant and issue the timings in the joint time table to operate on the allotted composite stage carriage permits No. 139-140/CP/RTA/FDF/23 issued in the month of March 2023 on the route Jalandhar to Patti via Kartarpur, Subhanpur, Beas, Mehta, Batala, Amritsar, Tarn Taran.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given legal notice dated 01.11.2023 (Annexure P-3) to competent authority of respondent No. 1-State and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the said competent authority of respondent No. 1-State is directed to consider the

said legal notice dated 01.11.2023 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that competent authority of respondent No. 1-State would consider the said legal notice dated 01.11.2023 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to competent authority of respondent No. 1-State to consider legal notice dated 01.11.2023 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then competent authority of respondent No. 1-State would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, competent authority of respondent No. 1-State is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and competent authority of respondent No. 1-State would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

22.12.2023*Mehak***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**