

129

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29268-2023

Date of decision: 22.12.2023

Kiranjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Patiyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with
Ms. Shivani Khareedi, respondent Nos.1 and 2-CGC.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.3 to change the name of the parents of the child of petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given a representation dated 12.10.2023 (Annexure P-7) and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of Central Board of Secondary Education is directed to consider the said representation dated 12.10.2023 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary

relief in accordance with law.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of Central Board of Secondary Education to consider representation dated 12.10.2023 (Annexure P-7) in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of Central Board of Secondary Education would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

22.12.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No