

126 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-12441-2023

Date of Decision: 22.12.2023

Deveshi Sharma @ Soyza

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Amrita Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents No.5 and 6.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, her client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide the representation dated 26.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Krishan K. Chahal, Addl. A.G., Haryana appears on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sonipat, Haryana to look into the representation dated 26.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

22.12.2023

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no

Whether reportable? yes/no