

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

134

CRM-M-64758-2023

Date of Decision:22.12.2023

Sukhdeep Singh @ Ghuda and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kapila, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.53 dated 29.09.2023, registered for offences under Sections 379-B, 324, 323, 341, 427, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Dorangla, District Gurdaspur, Annexure P-1, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 07.12.2023, Annexure P-2, arrived at between the parties.

2. Advance copy of the petition has been supplied to State counsel. Upon instructions, State counsel has apprised that petitioner No.1 is involved in a number of cases. He has given a list of cases which are reproduced hereunder:

“1. FIR No. 147, dated 29.07.2020, under Sections 392, 397, 506, 34 of IPC, Section 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, Police Station Kalanaur.

2. *FIR No. 10 dated 07.02.2014, under Section 21 of NDPS Act, Police Station Sadar Batala.*

3. *FIR No. 110/14 under Section 411, 414 IPC, 3, 34, 20 of IP Act, 14 of F Act, 3,4,5 QS Act, 18, 21, 22 NDPS Act, 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station Sadar Gurdaspur.*

4. *FIR No. 33 dated 20.06.2015 under Section 411, 414 IPC, 3, 34, 20 of IP Act, 14 of F Act, 3, 4, 5 QS Act, 18, 21, 22 NDPS Act, 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station Kalanaur.*

5. *FIR No. 06 dated 17.01.2020 under Sections 21 and 23 of the NDPS Act, Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station Dhariwal.*

6. *FIR No. 96 dated 21.06.2020 under Section 307, 34 of IPC, Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station Sadar Gurdaspur.*

7. *FIR No. 4 dated 10.01.2023 under Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station Kalanaur.”*

3. Furthermore, perusal of the allegations levelled in the FIR show that the petitioners have attacked and injured an Army Personnel, who was on leave and snatched his arms.

4. At this stage, counsel for the petitioners seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the present petition.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn.

22.12.2023
pooja saini

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No