

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104

CRM-M-64415-2023
Date of Decision.:21.12.2023

Beeru @ Sinder Singh

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Kawalpreet Singh Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this 2nd petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.260 dated 04.09.2023 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 341, 506 of the IPC & Section 25 of the Arms Act (Section 307 of the IPC added subsequently) registered at Police Station Sadar Safidon, District Jind. The earlier petition was dismissed as withdrawn on the basis of statement made by counsel for the petitioner vide order dated 09.11.2023 passed in CRM-M-56628-2023.

It is contended by learned counsel that after withdrawal of the earlier petition, challan has since been filed and no specific role of the petitioner has been shown.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner at length.

Learned counsel wants to rely upon the disclosure statement of the co-accused, instead on the statement of the complainant, in which specific injuries are attributed to the petitioner also. The crime is to be seen in its entirety

and not the specific role having regard to the manner in which the crime is committed.

No ground for anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

December 21, 2023
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No