

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12324-2023

Date of Decision: December 21, 2023

SEEMA

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Palvi, Advocate for
Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India, a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members at the hands of respondent No.5 with a further prayer of directing respondents No.3 and 4 to decide the representation sent through post on 12.12.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-2) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Bathinda to look into the representations (Annexure P-2) and take appropriate action in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 04 (four) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. The aforesaid direction shall not be treated as expression of opinion on the merits of the averments made in the representation.

21.12.2023

Tejwinder

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>

