

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CWP-28932-2023
Date of Decision: 21.12.2023

M/s Jai Gauri Rice Mills and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate and
Ms. Garima Modi, Advocate and
Ms. Yashika Walia, Advocate for the the petitioner
Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to the respondents to refund the security which the petitioners had deposited for getting allotment of paddy.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, submits that grievance of the petitioners, at this stage, would be redressed, if respondents are directed to decide representation dated 02.11.2022 and 04.11.2022 (Annexure P-5).
3. Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court on behalf of respondents, assures the Court that competent authority would decide representation of the petitioners, within two months from today, in accordance with law and prevailing policy.
4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

21.12.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No