

124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28873-2023

Date of decision: 21.12.2023

Welfare Association of the Superannuated Employees of Aided Colleges of
Punjab (Regd.)

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Jatin Salwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Muthreja, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claims submitted by the petitioner Association before him dated 24.08.2023 (Annexure P-6).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that although, the present writ petition has been filed by the Welfare Association, yet a perusal of representation dated 24.08.2023 (Annexure P-6) would show that the case of three persons i.e., Professor Subash Arora, Principal R.C. Verma and Professor Raman Sharma, has been specifically detailed and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case respondent No.2 considers the representation dated 24.08.2023 (Annexure P-6) and takes a final decision on the same with respect

to the said three persons and in case, the pleas raised in the representation are found to be meritorious then necessary relief be also granted to the said three persons, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that respondent No.2 would consider the said representation dated 24.08.2023 (Annexure P-6) with respect to the abovesaid three persons, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider representation dated 24.08.2023 (Annexure P-6) with respect to the abovesaid three persons in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised in the representation are found to be meritorious then necessary relief be also granted to the said three persons, in accordance with law and in case, respondent No.2 is of the opinion that the pleas raised are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.2 would consider the representation with respect to the said three persons, independently, in accordance with law.

21.12.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No****Whether reportable:- Yes/No**