

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

**2023:PHHC:165230
CWP No.29102 of 2023
Date of Decision:22.12.2023**

Bhupinder Singh

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Neha Jaggi, Senior Panel Counsel
for the Union of India

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents No. 1 and 2 to re-issue him passport.

2. The petitioner vide application dated 15.02.2022 applied for passport, however, respondents did not issue because of pendency of FIR No. 110 dated 25.05.2002, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC registered against the petitioner at Police Station, Sahnewal, Ludhiana.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has already been acquitted in the aforesaid FIR vide judgment dated 06.01.2011 passed by JMJC, Ludhiana.

4. Counsel for Union of India submits that application of the petitioner has already been closed and if he files a fresh application, it would be disposed of in accordance with law.

5. Counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

6. In the wake of statements of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

22.12.2023

paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No