

124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28739-2023

Date of decision: 20.12.2023

Dinesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. Kamal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the seniority list of year 2023 (Annexure P-2).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has already given a representation dated 09.10.2023 (Annexure P-3) and legal notice dated 20.11.2023 (Annexure P-4) to the competent authority of respondent No.1-State raising all his grievances and has submitted that the petitioner be permitted to give another detailed representation in which all aspects, as mentioned in the present writ petition would also be highlighted and has submitted that he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-State considers and takes a decision on the same in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then grant

necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider the representation as and when filed by the petitioner, mentioning all the aspects as mentioned in the present writ petition in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of said representation.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1-State to consider the representation as and when filed by the petitioner mentioning all the aspects as mentioned in the present writ petition, in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said representation and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority of respondent No.1-State would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of said representation.

**20.12.2023**

*Pawan*

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-**

**Yes/No**

**Whether reportable:-**

**Yes/No**