

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

132

CWP-28979-2023

Date of Decision : 21.12.2023

BHAJAN SINGH @ BHAJAN SINGH BASRA PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Manu K.Bhandari, Advocate and
Mr. Rohit Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 05.10.2023 (Annexure P-10) whereby request for addition of his surname 'Basra' has been declined.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that surname of the petitioner is 'Basra'. All the family members of the petitioner are using the said surname. In all the documents of the petitioner surname 'Basra' has been used. The petitioner has already retired and to avoid any future litigation surname 'Basra' may be added in his official record.

3. Learned State counsel could not point out any valid reason for denying prayer of the petitioner except citing Rule 14.40 of the Punjab Police Rules, 1934. He expressed his inability to controvert the fact that

addition of surname is not going to cause any prejudice to the State.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the parties, this Court finds it appropriate to direct the respondents to carry out necessary amendments in the service record of the petitioner.

5. The petition stands disposed of.

21.12.2023
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>