

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28789-2023

Date of Decision: 20.12.2023

**ANJALI BHARDWAJ ALIAS ANJALI SHARMA AND OTHERS
...Petitioners**

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Bishan Dass Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present case, the grievance of the petitioners is that they are appointed through The Haryana State Electronics Development Corporation Ltd.(HARTRON)/respondent No.3 and have now been posted with respondent No.2 and submits that the respondents are directing the petitioners that they will be appointed through respondent No.4/Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited, which cannot be done in the facts and circumstances of the present case as the petitioners have already been appointed through selection process and are working since 2015-19 onwards as the case may be. Hence, directing the petitioners that they should also be appointed through respondent No.4/Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited is totally arbitrary and illegal especially when this will cause prejudice to the petitioners as their salary will be reduced and their service conditions will also be changed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 04.08.2023

(Annexure P-13) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pankaj Middha, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation 04.08.2023 (Annexure P-13) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision, the petitioners are found entitled for any benefit, the same will be extended to them within a further period of four weeks.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
(JUDGE)

20.12.2023

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No