

CRWP-12247-2023

116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-12247-2023 (O & M)

Date of decision: 19.12.2023

Palak and anr.

..... Petitioners

V/s

State of Haryana and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Rahul Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court for issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 and 3 for protecting their lives and liberties which according to them are at stake because they are in a live-in-relationship. Petitioner No.1-Palak claims herself to be a major. As according to the copy of the Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1), her exact date of birth is 18.11.2004 whereas petitioner No.2-Mahaveer is a minor being the age of about 19 years as according to a copy of Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2) his date of birth is 09.11.2004.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a marriage is not a must for providing security to a couple in a 'live-in-relationship' because protection qua life and liberty is sacrosanct and stands at the highest pedestal. He has also placed reliance upon orders passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court in '*Sarabjeet Kaur and another versus State of Punjab and others*' in CRWP-102-2022 decided on 07.01.2022 and '*Goutam Kumar and another versus State of Punjab and*

CRWP-12247-2023
116

Another versus State of U.T., Chandigarh & others, CRWP-9470-2020, decided on 19.11.2020’.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ravish Kaushik, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. Since no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed, therefore, there is no necessity of inviting any response.

Mr. Ravi Rana, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5.

At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, as well as on the age and nature of the relationship between the petitioners, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, to look into the matter and pass an appropriate order in the representation allegedly filed by the petitioners before him on 15.12.2023 (Annexure P-5). It would be appreciated if necessary orders are passed within one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.

Disposed of.

December 19, 2023
sukhpreet

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No