

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

CWP-28618-2023

Date of Decision :-20.12.2023

Raman Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kamal Kant, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that in the unrevised merit list the petitioner was selected and appointed by converting the posts reserved for eligible sportsperson into the general category whereas, in the revised merit list, the posts which were advertised in the category of eligible sportsperson and remained vacant, have not been converted and filled up from the general category, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in case the posts, which are available in the category of eligible sportsperson in the revised merit list are again converted into general category, the petitioner will be within a zone of merit to be appointed to the post in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that once in the unrevised merit list, posts of the eligible sportsperson, which remained vacant were

converted into general category, the same process was required to be followed while revising the merit list hence, action of the respondents ousting the petitioner from the zone of consideration especially, when the petitioner has already been appointed is totally arbitrary and illegal.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of submitting a representation dated 01.12.2023 (Annexure P/7), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case the representation dated 01.12.2023 (Annexure P/7) has been received from the petitioner and is still pending consideration with the respondents, the same will be decided by the respondents in accordance with law and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of 04 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

December 20, 2023

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No