

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP-28519-2023
Date of Decision : 18.12.2023

SIKANDER SINGH AND ANOTHERPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present : Mr. Imaan Singh Khara, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Maninder Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the prayer at serial No. 1, as made in the instant petition. However, the learned counsel for the petitioners prays, that a mandamus be made upon the official respondents, to forthwith draw lawful motions, against co-respondents No. 6 and 7, for theirs making unauthorized occupation, on the *gair mumkin pond*, thus owned by the Gram Panchayat concerned.
2. The learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by the concerned, does not oppose the making of the said mandamus either upon the BDPO concerned, or upon the Sarpanch of the Gram Panchayat concerned.
3. Therefore, a mandamus is made upon the BDPO concerned, to forthwith institute an eviction petition against co-respondents No. 6 and 7, who have allegedly made encroachment(s) upon the *gair mumkin pond* thus owned by the Gram Panchayat concerned.
4. On such a petition being filed before the Collector concerned, thereupon, the latter shall through a lawful speaking decision becoming made thereons, thus decide the said petition but after hearing all affected persons concerned.

5. Disposed of with the above directions.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

18.12.2023

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No